## REQUEST FOR SUPPORT TO REGISTER PT769

**CROP KIND:** Wheat **TYPE:** Canada Western Red Spring

PROPOSER: D. Spaner

AFNS, 4-10 Agriculture / Forestry Centre, University of Alberta, Edmonton AB T6G 2P5

**TEST NUMBERS:** PT769, Entry 11 Parkland B 2010, 0423\*F6MBK20

**PEDIGREE**: Intrepid/CDC Go

PT769 was developed using a modified bulk breeding method. The  $F_1$  generation (10 seeds) generated from crossing during the winter of 2003-2004 was planted in the field at the University of Alberta, Edmonton Research Station (ERS), Edmonton AB in the summer of 2004. The F<sub>2</sub> was grown in 10 m<sup>2</sup> plots at the ERS during the summer of 2005. Two hundred randomly chosen heads were harvested, bulked and advanced to the F<sub>4</sub> in long space planted rows in a winter nursery near Lincoln, New Zealand in the winter of 2006-2007. Approximately 150 randomly chosen heads were bulked and space planted in Edmonton in the summer of 2006, with  $\approx 60$  heads, selected on the basis of plant type, maturity, lodging and disease resistance. These heads were again bulked and space planted in Edmonton in the summer of 2007, with  $\approx 60$  heads, selected on the basis of plant type, maturity, lodging and disease resistance and grown as F<sup>7</sup> head rows in New Zealand in the winter of 2007-2008. There, PT769 was selected on the basis of plant type, early maturity and straw strength. Seed from this bulked F<sub>7</sub> head-row was grown as a single entry in an unreplicated yield trial in Edmonton in 2008, and leaf and stem rust, bunt and leaf spot nurseries in Edmonton, and a stripe rust nursery near Creston, BC. Based on cumulative agronomic, disease resistance and quality data, one of the lines was evaluated as 0423\*F6MBK20 in replicated yield trials at one Saskatchewan and five Alberta environments in 2009. 0423\*F6MBK20 was evaluated in the Parkland B test in 2010 as entry number 11 and subsequently evaluated as PT769 in the Parkland Wheat Cooperative Test from 2011 to 2013.

**AREA OF ADAPTATION:** Wheat growing regions of Western Canada; predominantly the Parkland eco-region.

**STRENGTHS:** PT769 is an early maturing, high yielding line of medium height and good lodging resistance. It has very good bunt resistance.

**NEUTRAL TRAITS:** Stripe rust resistance intermediate to the checks, albeit at the low end of the range. Resistant to Intermediate ratings for stem and leaf rust.

**WEAKNESSES:** Slightly lower test weight than CDC Teal and AC Splendor. FHB reaction in the range of the checks.

**DESCRIPTION**: BW769 is an awned, hollow-stemmed line with a useful combination of high yield potential and early maturity, with resistance to common bunt. In three years of testing in the Parkland Cooperative Test, PT769 was higher yielding (P<0.05) than Katepwa (11.1%) and AC Splendor (7.3%), and higher yielding than CDC Teal (4.5%) and CDC Osler (0.5%) (Table 1). PT769 matured 1.6 days earlier than CDC Teal, 1.0 days earlier than CDC Osler, 0.3 days earlier than Katepwa and 0.9 days later

than AC Splendor. PT769 exhibited plant height and lodging resistance similar to, or in the range of the checks. Seed mass of PT769 was greater than, while test weight was less than, all check cultivars.

PT769 was rated intermediate to resistant to the prevalent races of stem and leaf rust in three years of testing (Table 2). It was rated in the range of the check cultivars for stripe rust and was rated resistant to common bunt over the three years of testing (Table 2 and Table 6). The FHB disease indices for PT769 were lower than all checks in 4 of 8 station years of testing. Ratings ranged from S to MR over the eight station years (Table 3 and Table 6). DON levels from Ottawa 2013 were 2.6, as compared to 3.2, 1.3, 7.4, and 2.4 for Katepwa, CDC Teal, AC Splendor and CDC Osler, respectively. Two years of end-use quality evaluation (Table 4) has indicated that PT769 is acceptable for the CWRS class (Tables 4 and 5).

## SEED AVAILABILITY

Over 300 kg of breeder seed is available from the harvest of one hundred and eleven 15 m rows in the fall of 2013.

Table 1. Agronomic data for PT769 and check cultivars in the Parkland Bread Wheat Cooperative Test, 2011 to 2013

	Yield	Yield	Maturity	Height	Lodging	Test Wt	Seed Mass
Entry	(kg/ha)	(% checks)	(days)	(cm)	(1-9)	(kg/hl)	(g/1000k)
Katepwa	4443	95	99.8	100.9	2.1	78.6	33.5
CDC Teal	4725	101	101.1	95.9	1.6	78.3	34.7
AC Splendor	4604	99	98.6	99.4	2.2	78.3	36.6
CDC Osler	4914	105	100.5	95.9	2.0	79.2	34.4
Check Mean	4672		100.0	98.0	2.0	78.6	34.8
PT769	4938	106	99.5	100.1	1.9	78.0	38.4
LSD	241		1.7	2.0	0.46	1.0	1.3
Station years	35		28	34	30	35	35

Table 2. Rust, smut, bunt and FHB reactions for PT769 and check cultivars in the Parkland Bread Wheat Cooperative Test, 2011 to 2013

2011									Fu	sarium l	Head Blight		
	Stem Rust		Stem Rust Leaf R		Loose S	mut	Commor	Bunt	Glen	<u>lea</u>	Carr	nan	
	Severity	Response	Severity	Response	%Infection	Rating	%Infection	Rating	Mean VRI	Class	Mean VRI	Class	
Katepwa	20.0	MR	33.3		0.0	R	15.0	I	11.0	I	30.0	MS	
CDC Teal	30.0	I	0.3	R	47.0	ı	19.0	I	18.0	MS	51.0	S	
AC Splendor	10.0	R	15.3	MR	13.0	R	22.0	ı	22.5	S	37.5	MS	
CDC Osler	30.0	I	0.0	R	15.0	R	31.0	MS	11.0	I	15.0	ı	
PT769	10.0	ı	3.7	R	20.0	MR	2.0	MR	6.7	MR	24.0	MS	
2012									Fu	ısarium l	lead Blight		
	Sten	n Rust	Leaf Rust		Loose Smut		Common Bunt		Glenlea		Carr	nan	
	Severity	Response	Severity	Response	%Infection	Rating	%Infection	Rating	Mean VRI	Class	Mean VRI	Class	
Katepwa	2.0	R	58.3	MS	8.0	R	20.0	I	8.0	MR	42.5	MS	
CDC Teal	2.0	R	20.0	MR	49.0	ı	23.5	ı	19.0	MS	71.3	S	
AC Splendor	2.0	R	38.3		36.0	I	16.5	MR	24.0	S	54.0	MS	
CDC Osler	2.0	R	2.0	R	23.0	MR	16.8	MR	12.0	ı	40.0	I	
PT769	2.0	R	31.7	I	37.0	ı	3.3	R	18.0	MS	37.5	ı	
2013									Fu	ısarium l	∣ Head Blight	:	
	Stem Rust Leaf Rust			f Rust	Loose S	<u>mut</u>	Commor	Bunt	Glenlea	Po	rtage	Carman	
	Severity	Response	Severity	Response	%Infection	Rating	%Infection	Rating	Mean VRI	Mean VR	Class	Mean VRI	Class
Katepwa	10	ı	63.3	S	0	R	20	ı	27.0	21.7	MS	27.4	I
CDC Teal	30	I	7.0	R	6	R	18.25	MR	7.0	33.0	S	82.5	S
AC Splendor	7	R	16.7	MR	22	MR	17.5	MR	27.0	33.3	S	58.1	S
CDC Osler	5	R	2.0	R	47	I	17	MR	18.0	24.0	MS	49.3	MS
PT769	15	I	0.0	R	9	R	4.5	R	14.0	35.3	S	28.2	I

## **Stripe rust reaction**

	Lethbridge 2011	•	Lethbridge 2012		Lethbr 2013	idge	Creston 2013		
Identity	Severity	Response	Severity	Response	Sev.	Rate	Sev.	Rate	
Katepwa	38	I	33	I	45	MS	65	S	
CDC Teal	25	I	10	VR	20	MR	15	R	
AC Splendor	50	MS	28	MR	20	MR	25	MR	
CDC Osler	37	I	30	MR	15	R	65	S	
PT769	38	I	33	I	15	R	15	R	

 $Table \ 3: \ Quality \ data \ for \ PT769 \ and \ checks \ from \ the \ Parkland \ Cooperative \ test, \ 2011-2013.$ 

				r Chara		ultivars			rforman	CA						
	441	leat an	u i iou	Cilaic	icici is	lics		Fir Yid	Horman							
Variety	Test	Wheat	Flour	Pro	FN	Amyl	Clean Wht Fir	РВ	Flour	Starch						
	Wt	Pro	Pro	Loss	FIN	Peak	Yld	0.50	Ash	Dmg						
IZ = t =	00.4	40.0	40.4	0.0	475	000	75.0	Ash	0.44	0.0						
Katepwa	80.1	13.2	12.4	0.8	475	620	75.8	77.0	0.44	8.6						
CDC Teal AC Splendo	80.2 79.6	13.5	13.1	0.4	470	680	76.2	77.0	0.44	8.2						
CDC Osler	81.0	14.1 13.6	<b>13.3</b> 12.9	0.8	465 <b>540</b>	650 785	75.9 75.6	76.0 75.5	0.46 0.47	7.9 8.4						
PT769	80.0	13.9	13.3	0.7	470	630	75.2	76.0	0.47	8.7						
F1709	80.0	13.9	13.3	0.5	470	030	75.2	76.0	0.46	0.7						
			Doug	gh Prop	erties	•			Baking	g Qualit	y					
Variety	Farino Abs	Farino DDT	Farino MTI	Farino Stab	EXT Area	EXT Rmax	EXT Length	CSP Abs	CSP Pk Tme	CSP WHR /KG	CSP LV					
Katepwa	68.1	4.25	20	11.0	69	325	16.3	72	3.5	6.4	1075					
CDC Teal	68.3	7.75	30	11.0	99	353	21.3	72	3.6	8.3	1130					
AC Splendo	68.8	8.25	15	22.5	106	420	19.8	73	4.2	8.8	1115					
CDC Osler	68.6	6.00	5	13.0	74	300	18.5	72	3.2	6.2	1090					
PT769	72.7	6.75	15	13.5	103	430	18.7	76	4.8	9.5	1145					
2012 Quality data of four check cultivars and PT769																
								Clean	Flr Yld			Flour	Flour			
Variety	Test Wt	Ker Wt	Wheat Pro	Flour Pro	Pro Loss	FN	Amyl Peak		PB 0.50 Ash	Flour Ash	Flour Color L*	Color a*	Color b*	Starch Dmg	PSI	
Katepwa	80.6	32.8	14.6	13.9	0.7	410	695	75.0	79.0	0.42	94.2	0.55	10.1	8.0	55	
CDC Teal	79.9	32.4	14.9	14.3	0.6	410	730	75.0	79.5	0.41	94.3	0.48	10.2	7.4	58	
AC Splendo	79.3	34.9	15.4	14.7	0.7	435	770	75.1	78.5	0.43	94.5	0.48	9.5	7.1	57	
CDC Osler	80.5	32.7	15.0	14.4	0.6	495	860	74.8	78.0	0.44	94.3	0.50	11.0	7.4	55	
PT 769	79.8	37.1	15.0	14.4	0.6	405	665	74.6	78.0	0.44	94.4	0.53	9.6	8.0	55	
					_		227			227	CSP					
	Farino	Farino	Farino	Farino	CSP	CSP Pk	CSP WHR	CSP	CSP	CSP Crumb	Crumb	CSP				
	Abs	DDT	MTI	Stab	Abs	Tme	/KG	LV	App	Struct	Modifiers <sup>1</sup>	Color				
Katepwa	66.6	8.00	25	11.0	67	3.5	8.9	1165	7.4	5.6	0+	7.8	Ì			
CDC Teal	67.3	9.00	5	24.5	68	4.3	10.9	1195	7.5	6.2	0	7.9				
AC Splendo		10.25	5	29.0	68	4.1	9.8	1130	7.4	6.0	0	7.9				
CDC Osler	67.7	6.50	10	15.5	67	3.1	8.5	1180	7.5	5.9	0+	7.4				
PT 769	69.9	8.75	15	22.0	68	4.3	12.8	1190	7.8	6.0	0+	7.9				
	2011 (	Quality	data of	four c	heck c	ultivars	and PT	769								
	Test		Wheat	Flour	Pro		Amyl	Clean	Fir Yld	Flour	Flour	Flour	Flour	Starch		
Yr in Test	Wt	Ker Wt	Pro	Pro	Loss	FN	Peak	Wht Fir	PB 0.50	Ash	Color L*	Color		Dmg	PSI	
	GU	IDELIN	FS	GU	IDELIN	JES	GU	IDELIN	Ash FS	GUII	DELINES	a" b" -				
Katepwa	81.4	36.3	13.6	12.9	0.7	410	450	74.9	76.5	0.46	94.0	0.53	9.9	8.4	56	
CDC Teal	81.4	37.5	14.4	14.0	0.4	400	380	75.4	78.0	0.43	94.1	0.48	9.7	7.6	59	
AC Splendo		36.9	14.7	13.9	0.8	425	520	75.1	75.5	0.48	94.3	0.47	9.3	7.2	58	
CDC Osler	81.9	35.4	14.3	13.6	0.7	465	710	74.2	75.5	0.48	94.1	0.50	10.7	7.9	56	
PT 769	80.5	40.7	14.4	13.7	0.7	390	410	73.1	77.0	0.45	94.4	0.48	9.3	8.2	55	
											007					
	Farino	Farino	Farino	Farino	CSP	CSP Pk	CSP	CSP	CSP	CSP	CSP	CSP				
	Abs	DDT	MTI	Stab	Abs	Tme	WHR /KG	LV	App	Crumb	Crumb Modifiers <sup>1</sup>	Color				
							,,,,,			Jarabi	Modifiers					
Katepwa	67.7	4.75	15	13.0	68	3.2	5.9	1055	7.5	5.9	0+	7.4				
CDC Teal	68.6	8.50	15	15.5	68	3.6	6.7	1165	7.8	5.9	0	7.7				
AC Splendo		9.25	20	25.0	69	3.6	6.1	1110	7.8	5.9	0	7.7				
CDC Osler	69.1	13.75	15	17.5	69	2.8	4.8	1085	7.9	5.8	0+	7.1				
PT 769	72.0	7.50	15	20.5	74	3.3	6.6	1140	7.7	5.8	0	7.8				

Table 5. Summary of PRCWRT QET votes regarding PT769, 2011 and 2012

			DNO	Obj	Abstain	Reasons
PT769	2013					
PT769	2012	3	17	0	0	Imp FAB, CSP App and CrCol; Flag FlYld(.50)
PT769	2011	4	13	0	0	Exc FAB and CSP Abs, Imp CSP CrCol; Poor FlYld (cl.wt); exc noodle L*a*

Table 6. Supplementary disease data, Parkland B test 2010.

2010 U	of A Parkland B Test																
Stripe r	ust, bunt, FHB, leaf and	stem ru	st														
										FHB							
			S	tripe	Rus	st				VRI							
	so	ft dough	/milk	joint	ing	soft	doug	h									
		Pullman YR			Mt. Vernon YR			Manit		toba FHB		ba LR	Juvenile	Bunt	Bunt Lethbridge		
Entry	Pedigree	IT	%	IT	%	IT	%	INC	SEV	INDEX	Severit	Rating	SR	All av	Class		
1	AC_Splendor	5	70	8	30	8	90	60	50	30	35	М	4	22	MS		
2	CDC_Teal	8	60	3	10	5	30	70	60	42	10	MR	4	15	I		
3	Katepwa	8	100	8	50	8	80	65	25	16.25	70	MSS	4	8	R/MR		
4	CDC_Osler	8	100	5	30	3	10	70	25	17.5	0	R	;1-	10	I		
11	Intrepid/CDC Go	3	30	5	20	3	20	40	30	12	0	R	3+	3	R/MR		

<sup>\*</sup> Infection Type (IT) for stripe rust was recorded based on the 0-9 scale with ITs 8 and 9 combined as 8 (the most susceptible reaction) in field data. Generally IT 0-3 are considered resistant, 4-6 intermediate, and 7-9 susceptible. Heterogenous reactions of an entry were indicated by two or more ITs separated by "," for most plants with the first IT and few plants with the second IT or connected with "-" for entries containing plants with continuous ITs.

\*\* LOC04 was inoculated with spores collected from the same site in 2008.